UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,137	08/22/2005	Yuuichirou Ogawa	121506	8749
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 11/05/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	FISCHER, JUSTIN R		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/511,137	OGAWA, YUUICHIROU			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Justin R. Fischer	1791			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Per</u>	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>Justin R. Fischer</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Daniel Tanner</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 28 October 2008	Time: <u>3:00</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: 103 rejection under Ogawa					
Claims discussed: 1-3,5-9, and 12-14					
Prior art documents discussed: Ogawa					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	the examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview			
/Justin R Fischer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative discussed the potential filing of an RCE and the desire to specifically pursue the allowance of claim 8 (includes limitations pertaining to the location of the turnup end in relation to a reference line QB). In such an instance, the pending rejection of claim 8 would remain applicable and a Non Final rejection would be communicated to applicant (assuming the submission of new arguments with the filing of said RCE).